this is not possible, the *jamabandi* officers may be directed to impose fine on *takarar takhtas.*

Reply of Government.--The power to fine cannot be given to *inamdar.* If survey and settlement have been introduced into the village, such cases may be dealt with in the same manner as encroachments on Government lands under Section 237 of the Land Revenue Code. If, in any case local officers have refused to entertain such cases, the matter should be taken up in appeal.

SUBJECT No. 91.

Inamdars to maintain all the village accounts.

Messrs. H. Krishnasastri and Somasetti, Krishnarajpete Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Strict orders may be issued compelling *inamdars* to maintain all the accounts of their *inam* villages in addition to accounts Nos. 14 and 15, as much difficulty is being experienced by their not maintaining all the accounts. No *inamdar* has given any remission this year.

Mr. Dasappa.—It is difficult to maintain the accounts now prescribed. If more accounts are prescribed, all the *inamdar's* income will have to be paid to the shanbhogs to prepare such accounts.

Reply of Government.—Noted.

SUBJECT No. 92.

Claims of *inamdars* to *supari* cess.

Mr. A. Ramanna, Yedatore Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—At present the *inamdar* is not entitled to the *supari* cess, inasmuch as the cess on areca trees is said to be intended to meet the cost of the scientific investigation of diseases affecting *supari.* The Government ought to undertake the scientific enquiry into this class of diseases as into other diseases and the cess ought to be paid to the *inamdar* to compensate him for the loss caused by the abolition of the *halat.* The amount is not inappreciable and in the Mysore District each *inamdar* will get not less than Rs. 10 or Rs. 12 if the claim is recognised.

Reply of Government.—The cess cannot be made over to the *inamdars.* It is levied for a special purpose and should be collected and used for that purpose or remitted altogether.

SUBJECT No. 93.

Amending the Mysore Land Revenue Code so as to make the rent or revenue payable by a ryots in an *inam* village to the *inamdar*, a primary charge on the land.

(*a*)Messrs. B. Narasinga Rao, Seringapatam Municipality; Madappa, Mysore Taluk; A. Ramanna, Yedatore Taluk; V. Raghavachar, Mysore Taluk; and Amble Anniah Pandit, Mysore District Board;

(*b*) Mr. A. Ramanna, Yedatore Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—(*a*) In the absence of a provision in the Land Revenue Code making the lands in the holding of the ryots in *inam* villages liable for the payment of land revenue or rent, *inamdars* are in many instances deprived of their dues and are put to much loss and inconvenience. The question of amending the Revenue Code and constituting such revenue or rent a primary charge on the holding is being pressed from time to time. The Revenue Code may be amended so as to remedy this hardship.

(*b*) The land revenue was a primary charge on the land before and after the grant of the villages by Government to *inamdars* up to a few years ago. At the time of